IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please insert the required "Brief Description of the Drawings" section shown below after line 3 on page 4 of the specification.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows the N-terminal sequences of wild type hPRL and hGH and of the deletion mutants of hPRL are shown on Figure 1. Legend of Figure 1: Top: PRL (SEQ ID NO: 1) and GH (SEQ ID NO: 2) N-terminal sequences are aligned; the N-terminus is 9 residues longer in PRL, including a disulfide bond between Cys₄ and Cys₁₁. An arrow identifies putative helix 1 as predicted by homology modeling. Bottom: incremental deletions of hPRL N-terminus. Deletion of the 9 first residues (Δ1-9-hPRL) mimics N-terminus of hGH, whereas deletion of the 14 first residues (Δ1-14-hPRL) removes the N-terminus tail in its entirety.

•—), $\Delta 1$ -9-hPRL (——) and $\Delta 1$ -14-hPRL (—— Δ ——); Figure 3B shows cell

proliferation in presence of increasing concentrations of hPRL (———), $\Delta 1$ -10-hPRL (--——), $\Delta 1$ -11-hPRL (--——), $\Delta 1$ -12-hPRL (--——).

Figure 5 provides data of one typical experiment performed in duplicate and representative of three experiments which depicts hPRL transcriptional activity (% of activity vs. WT hPRL maximal effect referred as 100%) in presence of increasing concentrations ($\mu g/ml$) of: hPRL (————), $\Delta 1$ -9-hPRL (————), $\Delta 1$ -14-hPRL (—— Δ ——), $\Delta 1$ -10-hPRL (-- \star ---), $\Delta 1$ -11-hPRL (-- Δ ---), $\Delta 1$ -12-hPRL (-- Δ ---), $\Delta 1$ -13-hPRL (-- Δ ---).

Figure 6 shows agonism of G129R and double mutants by means of the Nb2 cell proliferation assay: cell proliferation without hPRL (\square) and in presence of increasing concentrations of purified WT hPRL (\square), G129R-hPRL (\square), Δ 1-9-G129R-hPRL (\square) and Δ 1-14-G129R-hPRL (\square).

Figures 7A and 7B shown agonism and antagonism. 7A shows agonism by activation of the LHRE-luciferase reporter gene by increasing concentrations of WT hPRL (\blacksquare), and the three G129R-containing analogs, G129R-hPRL G129R-hPRL (\blacksquare), Δ 1-9-G129R-hPRL (\blacksquare), and Δ 1-14-G129R-hPRL (\blacksquare). The agonistic activity of G129R-hPRL is extremely reduced in this assay, reaching a maximal level <2% of hPRL activity. Similarly, none of the double mutant induced detectable level of luciferase activity, even when tested at extremely high concentrations (up to 50 μ g/ml). The results demonstrating antagonism are shown in Figure 7B: Δ 1-14-G129R-hPRL(\blacksquare), Δ 1-9-G129R-hPRL (\blacksquare), G129R-hPRL (\blacksquare).

Figures 8A and 8B show agonism and antagonism using the Ba/F3-hPRLR cell proliferation bioassay. Figure 8A shows agonism by cell proliferation in presence of increasing

concentrations of purified WT hPRL (■), G129R-hPRL (■), Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL (■), and Δ1-14-G129R-hPRL (◎). Maximal effect of WT hPRL is obtained at 10 ng/ml. G129R-hPRL induced sub-maximal proliferation with a dose-response curve displaced by 2 logs to the high concentrations. In contrast, none of the double mutants (Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL and Δ1-14-G129R-hPRL) induced significant proliferation. As in the Nb2 assay, the curve obtained for G129R-hPRL was displaced to the right by ~2 log units and achieved sub-maximal (50-80%) level compared to hPRL. At high concentrations, hPRL and G129R-hPRL displayed bell-shaped curves, a typical observation when using these ligands (KINET *et al.*, Recent Res. Devel. Endocrinol., 2, 1-24, 2001). Both Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL and Δ1-14-G129R-hPRL failed to display any agonistic activity, even at concentration as high as 10 μg/ml. Antagonistic assays were performed by competing a fixed concentration of WT hPRL (10 ng/ml) with increasing concentrations of the analogs. Figure 8B shows cell proliferation in presence of increasing concentrations of Δ1-9-G129R-hPRL (—■—), Δ1-14-G129R-hPRL (———), G129R-hPRL (———) competing with the fixed concentration of WT hPRL.

Figure 9 depicts blots describing kinase activation describe by Example 4. **A:** anti-MAPK blots: top panel (MAPK-P): phosphorylated MAPK; bottom panel: total MAPK (MAPK). **B:** densitometric quantification of MAPK-P blots (top panels).

Figure 10 shows Δ1-9G129R inhibition of PRL-induced Stat 3 and Stat 5 activation as shown by Example 5. **A:** anti-STAT blots: Top panel (P-Stat5 and P-Stat3): phosphorylated Stat5 and phosphorylated Stat3; Bottom panel (Stat5 and Stat3): total Stat5 and Stat3. **B:** densitometric quantification of anti-phosphorylated STAT blot (top panels).

Figure 11 shows that Δ1-9-G129R inhibits PRL-induced MAPK Constitutive activation as described in Example 6. **A:** anti-MAPK blots: Top panel (MAPK-P): phosphorylated MAPK; Bottom panel (MAPK): total MAPK. **B:** densitometric quantification of MAPK-P blots (top panels).

Figure 12 refers to antagonist (Δ 1-9G129R-hPRL) as also described in Example 6. **A:** anti-MAPK blots: Top panel (MAPK-P): phosphorylated MAPK in the prostate ventral and dorsolateral lobes and in the presence (+) or absence (-) of Δ 1-9G129R-hPRL mutant;

Application No. 10/500,968 Reply to Notice to File Corrected Application Papers

Bottom panel (MAPK): total MAPK in the same samples. **B:** densitometric quantification of anti-phosphorylated MAPK blot (top panels).